

Appl. No. : 10/540,319
Filed : June 21, 2005

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Applicants thank the Examiner for the telephonic interview granted to Applicants' Representative, Mincheol Kim, Reg. No. 51,306, in the captioned application on January 6, 2010.

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 17-29.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

None.

Proposed Amendments

None.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

The Office Communication dated December 17, 2009 stated that the amendments filed on September 9, 2009 were not responsive to the previous Office Action dated June 9, 2009 because the amendments canceled all claims drawn to the elected invention and presented only claims drawn to a non-elected invention. Further, the Office Communication stated that the added claims are not readable on the elected invention because the claims recite an endless loop structure, not recited in previous claims.

During the interview, Applicant's Representative submitted that while the canceled claims do not recite the language of endless loop, features relating to an endless loop structure were defined in the canceled claims, for example in Claim 3. Further, Applicant's Representative submitted that the added claims are consistent with the canceled claims although using different language. Also, Applicant's Representative submitted that the canceled claims and Claims 17-25 are directed to an apparatus and there has been no restriction requirement

Appl. No. : 10/540,319
Filed : June 21, 2005

issued in the present application and accordingly there has been no election of any invention in this application.

Results of Interview

The Examiner agreed that features of an endless loop structure were defined in the canceled claims, and that what is defined in the added claims is consistent with what was defined in the canceled claims.